

THE WRITTEN REPORT OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

in order of § 217 of Public Procurement Act.

1. Identification of the contracting authority, the subject-matter of the public contract and the price agreed in the public contract

Identifikační údaje zadavatele:

Technická univerzita v Liberci

Based in: Studentská 1402/2, 461 17 Liberec 1

IN: 467 47 885

Authorised person: doc. RNDr. Miroslav Brzezina, CSc., rektor

Public procurement identification: 044_JZ_Laboratory braiding machine for production of variable braided units

Interní číslo veřejné zakázky: 22/9615/044

The Subject-matter of the public contract: Horizontal laboratory braiding machine for the production of variable braided units like a standard braided and profiled (branched) cords and cords according to the required program created by the user

The price agreed in the public contract: 110.273,80 Euro without VAT

2. The type of the public contract

Simplified below-threshold procedure

3. The identification of the participants of the public contract

Tender no. 1

Business firm: Herzog GmbH

Located: Am Alexanderhaus 160, 26127 Oldenburg

IN: DE308510598

Tender Price: 110.273,80 Euro without VAT

4. The identification of all participants of the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion

No participants was excluded from the public procedure process.

5. The identification of suppliers with which a contract was concluded

The identification of the selected economic operator:

Business firm: Herzog GmbH

Located: Am Alexanderhaus 160, 26127 Oldenburg

IN: DE308510598

The explanation of the selected economic operator:



Considering that the contracting authority received only one offer by the end of the deadline for submitting offers, the participant's offer was not evaluated in accordance with § 122 (2) of Public Procurement Act. The selected supplier has fulfilled the conditions of participation in the procurement procedure.

6. The identification of subcontractors of the economic operator where they are known to the contracting authority

Not relevant.

7. The explanation of the use of the negotiated procedure without prior publication or the competitive dialogue where such procedures were used

Not relevant.

8. The explanation of the use of the negotiated procedure with prior publication where such procedure was used

Not relevant.

9. The explanation of the use of the light regime where such procedure was used

Not relevant.

10. The explanation of the cancellation of the procurement procedure where such cases ocured

The public procedure was not cancelled.

11. The explanation of the use of means of communication other than electronic means for the submission of tenders where such other means were used

Not relevant.

12. A list of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures where a conflict of interest was detected

The conflict of interest was not detected.

13. Where the contracting authority doesn't divide an above-threshold public contract into lots it shall indicate the explanation of such procedure unless it has already it in the procurement documents

Not relevant.

14. The explanation of laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to § 78 (3) of Public Procurement Act where it has not already indicated it in the procurement documents

Not relevant.

In Liberec, day 24.08.2022

The contracting authority: Jan Žďárský

